

The Global Use of Open Source Software by Governments: Benefits, Drawbacks, and Managerial Considerations for Transition

1. Introduction: The Growing Significance of Open Source Software in Government

Open source software (OSS) can be defined as software for which the human-readable source code is available for use, study, reuse, modification, enhancement, and redistribution by the users of that software¹. This accessibility and the freedoms associated with it have led to an increasing relevance of OSS in the public sector worldwide². Governments globally are recognizing the potential of OSS, driven by a confluence of factors including growing cost pressures, an increasing demand for transparency in governmental operations, and a strategic desire for greater control and autonomy over their technological infrastructure². The consistent and growing interest demonstrated by governments around the world in open source software, evidenced by the significant number of policy initiatives enacted over the past two decades, indicates a fundamental shift in how the public sector views and procures technology³. This sustained engagement, with 669 open source policy initiatives identified between 1999 and 2022 and a consistent level of activity since a sharp increase in 2003, suggests a long-term trend rather than a fleeting interest³. This evolving landscape necessitates that managers within government become well-informed about OSS to effectively participate in future technology-related discussions and strategic decision-making. Furthermore, the emergence of the term "public software" in some regions, particularly South America, to describe software developed by and for the government and then made available to other offices and citizens, highlights a unique aspect of governmental OSS adoption⁷. This concept emphasizes collaboration and the sharing of resources within the public sector itself, a departure from the traditional commercial focus inherent in proprietary software models. This indicates a motivation that extends beyond mere cost savings or technical advantages, pointing towards a broader aspiration for public good and the efficient utilization of shared governmental resources, considerations that managers should understand as potential drivers for adopting OSS initiatives.

2. Global Landscape of Open Source Software Adoption by Governments

The adoption of open source software by governments is an increasing trend across various countries and at multiple levels of governance, including local, regional, and national entities². Globally, over 90% of organizations report at least a moderate level of OSS adoption⁸. While North America and Europe show near parity in their adoption and promotion of open source practices, Asia-Pacific lags slightly behind in most measures². Several key policies and initiatives worldwide underscore the commitment of governments to

open source software. In the United States, the Federal Source Code Policy, outlined in OMB Memorandum M-16-21, mandates that new, custom-developed source code created for the federal government be shared and reused across all federal agencies¹⁰. This policy also includes a pilot program requiring agencies to release at least 20% of new custom code as OSS¹⁰. The US Department of Commerce has its own Source Code Policy that aligns with these federal requirements, also mandating the release of at least 20% of new custom-developed code as OSS⁸. On a broader scale, the European Commission has established an Open Source Software Strategy with key objectives including progressing towards digital autonomy and encouraging the sharing and reuse of software¹². Specific national initiatives also exist, such as the Dutch Open Standards and Open Source Software program designed to stimulate OSS adoption⁷, and the Malaysian Public Sector Open Source Software Program, which resulted in significant savings on proprietary software licenses⁹. Notably, Switzerland has taken a strong stance by requiring all government software to be open source, exemplifying a "public money, public code" approach¹³.

Numerous case studies further illustrate the successful implementation of OSS solutions by governments globally. In the United States, the US Tax Court introduced DAWSON, a modern open-source case management system that streamlined court operations¹⁵. The Whitehouse website under the Obama administration utilized Drupal, an open-source content management system, and released its code, significantly promoting OSS adoption within government¹⁶. Estonia's X-Road and India Stack serve as prominent examples of open-source data exchange platforms leveraged at the national level³. The US Department of Labor created open source Software Development Kits (SDKs) to simplify developers' access to government data¹⁶. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) has a long history of extensive OSS use, contributing significantly to the high-performance computing ecosystem¹⁷. The farmers.gov website, developed in partnership with MetroStar, provides critical resources to American farmers using open-source tools¹⁹. Historical examples include the city of Munich's migration to Linux (LiMux)⁹ and the French National Gendarmerie's decision to switch entirely to Ubuntu⁹. Cost-saving potential is demonstrated by an Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) study that projected significant savings by implementing OpenOffice.org²⁰. Moreover, there are documented instances of governments successfully replacing unstable proprietary systems with maintainable open-source platforms²¹. More recently, Schleswig-Holstein in Germany has adopted LibreOffice as its standard office solution as a step towards achieving digital sovereignty¹⁴.

The wide array of successful OSS implementations across diverse governmental functions, spanning judicial systems, executive agencies, scientific research, public-facing services, and data management, demonstrates the versatility and broad applicability of OSS to various governmental needs³. This should encourage managers to consider OSS as a viable solution that extends beyond specific technical domains and can address a wide spectrum of operational requirements within their own organizations.

Furthermore, the increasing emphasis on "digital sovereignty" as a key driver for OSS adoption, particularly evident in regions like South America and exemplified by the policy in

Schleswig-Holstein, signifies a strategic move towards greater national control over technology infrastructure and the data it manages⁵. This policy consideration goes beyond immediate cost or technical benefits, reflecting a deeper concern for national security, the protection of citizen data, and a desire to reduce dependence on technology vendors from other countries. This strategic imperative should be recognized by government leaders and managers as a potentially overarching goal influencing future technology-related decisions and investments.

3. The Compelling Benefits of Open Source Software for Government Organizations

One of the most frequently cited benefits of open source software for government organizations is its potential for cost efficiency³. A primary driver for adopting OSS is the elimination of often substantial licensing fees associated with proprietary software¹³. Moreover, OSS reduces vendor lock-in, providing governments with the flexibility to choose more competitive options for support and maintenance¹⁶. In the long term, this can contribute to a lower total cost of ownership (TCO)³. By avoiding these costs, governments can reallocate their budgets to other critical areas and potentially achieve significant savings, as demonstrated by the Arizona DOT study that projected savings of \$410,000 by implementing OpenOffice.org²⁰.

Open source software also offers enhanced transparency and fosters greater trust in government operations⁴. The publicly accessible nature of the code allows for public scrutiny, building confidence in how government handles data and conducts its operations¹⁴. Unlike proprietary "black box" systems, OSS has no hidden agendas, promoting increased accountability in the development and deployment of government software¹⁴.

Furthermore, OSS can lead to improved security². The "many eyes" principle suggests that with a wider community reviewing the code, vulnerabilities can be identified and patched more quickly than in closed-source systems¹⁶. The transparency of OSS also allows for independent security audits, further enhancing its security posture³². In fact, a significant majority (68%) of respondents in a recent study believe that OSS is more secure than closed source software².

Governments also benefit from the increased flexibility and customization offered by OSS¹³. They have the ability to tailor the software to their specific needs without being constrained by vendor limitations¹³. OSS also facilitates easier integration with existing systems, promoting interoperability between different platforms and technologies¹⁶. The freedom to modify and adapt the software allows governments to evolve their systems in response to changing requirements¹³.

In terms of data governance, OSS provides greater data sovereignty and control⁵.

Governments can maintain full control over their data and have the option of on-premise hosting, reducing reliance on external vendors and ensuring compliance with data privacy regulations¹⁴.

OSS also fosters innovation and collaboration². It encourages collaboration not only within and between government agencies but also with the broader public and the global developer community⁶. By leveraging the collective innovation and contributions of this community,

governments can potentially achieve faster development and deployment cycles for their software solutions ⁶.

Finally, OSS often aligns with open standards, which further enhances interoperability between different systems and vendors ¹. This facilitates the easier integration of new OSS solutions with existing, potentially legacy, infrastructure within government organizations ¹. The combination of these benefits, including cost savings, enhanced transparency, improved security, and greater flexibility, presents a compelling value proposition for governments considering a transition to OSS. These advantages are often interconnected, with transparency potentially leading to better security, and cost savings freeing up resources for innovation, creating a synergistic effect that strengthens the case for OSS adoption. Furthermore, the potential for OSS to foster local technological ecosystems and encourage collaboration with local developers can contribute to broader economic development and the building of regional expertise, offering an additional strategic advantage for governments to consider.

4. Navigating the Drawbacks and Challenges of Open Source Software Implementation in Government

Despite the numerous benefits, the implementation of open source software in government organizations is not without its drawbacks and challenges. One significant hurdle can be initial resistance and inertia ¹. Established preferences for proprietary software and a general fear of change within large organizations can make the adoption of new technologies challenging. This is often compounded by a lack of awareness and understanding of OSS, particularly among some government employees in management positions.

Perceived security concerns also represent a notable challenge ¹. Misconceptions about OSS being inherently less secure or having more vulnerabilities than proprietary software persist in some circles. Concerns about the potential for malware and the trustworthiness of the often distributed developer community can also create hesitation. Addressing these concerns requires a proactive approach to identify and fill any security gaps during the implementation process.

The availability of support and expertise is another critical consideration ¹. While community support is a hallmark of many OSS projects, concerns may arise regarding the reliability of such support for business-critical government operations. The potential need for in-house technical expertise for customization, maintenance, and troubleshooting, as well as the availability of commercial support options and warranties, must be carefully evaluated. Community support, while often robust, may not always be well-suited for situations requiring immediate, guaranteed service levels.

Adjustments to traditional procurement processes may also be necessary ¹. Government procurement systems are often designed with the acquisition of proprietary software licenses in mind, and the absence of such upfront fees in many OSS models can create complexities. Considering alternative procurement methods, such as subscriptions for support services and ongoing maintenance, is often required.

Integrating OSS with existing legacy systems can present significant challenges ¹. The complexity of interoperability risks between new OSS solutions and older proprietary

infrastructure needs careful consideration and can potentially lead to costly integration efforts.

Ensuring compliance with government regulations and accessibility standards is also paramount³⁷. Government security, compliance, and privacy laws, such as GDPR and Section 508 accessibility requirements, may not always be a primary focus for the global community of OSS contributors. Therefore, government organizations must actively address these requirements during the implementation and customization phases.

The prevalence of "fear, uncertainty, and doubt" (FUD) surrounding the security and reliability of OSS within government IT departments represents a significant psychological and cultural barrier³⁹. This perception, often fueled by a lack of understanding, needs to be actively countered through education, the dissemination of successful case studies, and a clear demonstration of the robust security practices inherent in many OSS communities and successful government deployments.

Furthermore, the potential for government contractors to favor proprietary solutions they are more familiar with can lead to the issue of "reinventing the wheel"³⁹. If contractors are not incentivized or directed to consider existing OSS solutions, they may default to building new systems from scratch using proprietary tools, undermining the cost-saving and efficiency goals of OSS adoption. Addressing this misalignment of incentives may require specific contractual language and a clear organizational policy that prioritizes the evaluation and reuse of open source software.

5. Managerial Perspectives on Switching to Open Source Software

From a management perspective, a transition to open source software requires careful strategic planning and a thorough risk assessment³. A well-defined strategy, aligned with the organization's overall goals and objectives, is essential for a successful transition. This strategy should encompass a comprehensive risk assessment that considers technical, security, legal, and organizational aspects of adopting OSS.

A critical component of managerial consideration is the Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) analysis³. Managers must look beyond the initial absence of licensing fees in many OSS models and consider all associated costs over the entire lifecycle of the software. These costs include integration, customization, training, ongoing support, maintenance, and potential hidden costs that may arise. It is crucial to avoid the common misconception that OSS implementation is inherently "free" and to conduct a thorough evaluation that includes both quantitative financial factors and qualitative aspects such as flexibility and vendor independence.

Effective change management and training are also vital for a smooth transition¹. Resistance to change is a common challenge in any organizational shift, and a well-planned change management strategy is necessary to address this and ensure a successful adoption of OSS. Adequate training for both IT staff and end-users on the new OSS solutions is crucial to maximize their effectiveness and minimize disruption. The potential difficulty of educating a large number of technical staff on new technologies needs to be carefully considered and addressed through appropriate training programs.

Establishing clear governance and policy frameworks for OSS adoption and contribution is

another key managerial responsibility⁸. This may involve creating an Open Source Program Office (OSPO) to provide centralized guidance, manage policies related to OSS usage and contribution, and address legal and security concerns. Developing concise and easily understandable OSS policies that cover adoption guidelines, contribution processes, and licensing requirements is essential for ensuring consistent and compliant use of open source software within the organization.

Managers also need to address potential concerns about project direction and influence when adopting OSS³⁷. Government program offices may have limited direct control over the development roadmap of large, community-driven OSS projects. Therefore, engaging with these communities, understanding their processes, and potentially contributing to the projects are important strategies for aligning the software with specific governmental needs. The emphasis on conducting a thorough Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) analysis underscores the importance for managers to have a comprehensive understanding of the financial implications of choosing OSS³. While the absence of licensing fees can be attractive, a complete financial evaluation must account for all costs associated with implementation, customization, support, training, and infrastructure over the software's entire lifecycle. This detailed analysis will provide a more accurate comparison to proprietary solutions and enable informed financial decision-making.

Furthermore, the recommendation to consider establishing an Open Source Program Office (OSPO) indicates a strategic move towards formalizing the management of OSS within government⁸. An OSPO can play a crucial role in setting clear policies, addressing legal and security concerns, fostering a community of OSS users and contributors within the organization, and ultimately improving the success and impact of OSS initiatives across the government.

6. The Perceived Difficulty and Potential Obstacles in Transitioning to Open Source Software

The perceived difficulty of transitioning to open source software can be a significant obstacle for government organizations. One key area of concern is the complexity of OSS licenses and the associated legal considerations³⁷. The variety of available OSS licenses, such as the MIT license, GNU General Public License (GPL), and Apache License, each with its own set of permissions and restrictions, can be confusing for those not familiar with open source legal frameworks. Ensuring compliance with these licenses and avoiding potential legal issues often requires specialized legal expertise. Moreover, the fact that licensing terms for OSS projects can change over time, as seen with HashiCorp's licensing shift, necessitates ongoing monitoring and reassessment of software usage.

Another perceived difficulty relates to ensuring the quality and reliability of software developed and maintained by a distributed, often volunteer-based, community¹. Concerns may arise about the level of quality control, the stability of the software, and the potential for inconsistent contributions. Unlike proprietary software vendors who typically have dedicated support teams, the support for specific OSS projects can vary depending on the size and activity of the community. Developing methods to evaluate the quality, security, and long-term viability of OSS projects is therefore crucial for government organizations considering their

adoption.

A potential obstacle to the adoption of OSS is the lack of in-house expertise or familiarity with these technologies among government IT staff ³⁹. Government IT departments may have a long history of using proprietary software and their staff may be more experienced and comfortable with those systems. Transitioning to OSS often requires investment in training and upskilling existing staff, which can take time and resources. Furthermore, even when engaging external contractors, they may lack experience with modern, open source development workflows, potentially hindering the transition process.

Interoperability with existing systems and data formats can also pose a significant challenge ³⁶. Many government agencies rely on legacy systems that may not easily integrate with newer OSS solutions. Ensuring data compatibility and facilitating the seamless transfer of data between different systems is a critical consideration. Following common data standards and utilizing open interfaces (APIs) can help mitigate these challenges but may require careful planning and implementation.

The perceived complexity of OSS licenses can indeed act as a deterrent for managers who lack familiarity with the legal nuances of open source ³⁷. Providing clear guidance, readily accessible legal expertise, and establishing organizational policies that simplify the understanding and application of OSS licenses are crucial steps in overcoming this obstacle. Managers need assurance that their organizations can utilize and contribute to OSS in a legally sound manner.

Concerns about the reliability and quality of community-driven software can also create hesitation among managers accustomed to the formal support structures of proprietary vendors ¹. Emphasizing the transparency of OSS development processes, the active involvement of a global user base in identifying and fixing bugs, and the ability for independent review of the code can help build confidence in the robustness of many open source solutions. Highlighting successful examples of government agencies relying on OSS for critical functions can further alleviate these concerns.

7. Cost Comparison: Open Source vs. Proprietary Software in Government Settings

The cost structures of proprietary and open source software differ significantly. Proprietary software typically involves higher upfront licensing fees and often includes ongoing subscription costs ¹⁶. Additional costs may arise for increasing the number of user seats, along with mandatory maintenance fees. A significant concern with proprietary software is the risk of vendor lock-in, which can lead to price increases and limited flexibility in choosing alternative solutions ¹⁶.

In contrast, open source software generally has no licensing fees, resulting in lower upfront costs ¹⁶. However, potential costs for implementation, customization to meet specific government needs, training staff, and ongoing support (which can be either community-based or through paid commercial options) need to be considered ¹⁶. Costs associated with hosting and infrastructure are also relevant.

Several government cost analyses have highlighted the potential financial benefits of OSS. A CSIS report noted that 18% of government policies promoting OSS cited budgetary implications as a key reason, aiming to reduce the costs of acquiring and maintaining

proprietary software ⁷. Cambodia's Open Source Master Plan was partly driven by the necessity of complying with intellectual property laws, making the no-licensing-fee model of OSS particularly attractive ⁷. A study by the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) projected potential cost savings of \$410,000 by implementing OpenOffice.org as an alternative to Microsoft Office ²⁰. Link Digital emphasizes that OSS for data management offers lower costs through improved interoperability, easier integration, greater customization capabilities, and reduced barriers to reuse ¹⁶.

While OSS eliminates direct licensing fees, the concept of "hidden costs" is important to acknowledge ²⁴. These can include the expenses associated with customizing the software to fit specific government requirements, the cost of obtaining commercial support if needed, and the resources required for ongoing maintenance and updates, potentially including the need for specialized technical skills ²⁴. Similarly, proprietary software can also have "hidden costs," such as the expense of mandatory upgrades, the limitations imposed by vendor lock-in, and the potential for paying for features that are not actually utilized ²⁴.

The following table summarizes the key cost differences between proprietary and open source software in government:

Cost Category	Proprietary Software	Open Source Software
Licensing Fees	Typically high, often recurring	Typically none
Upfront Costs	Can be high due to licensing	Generally lower, primarily for implementation
Support & Maintenance	Often included in licensing, can be expensive	Community support (free, variable quality), Commercial support (paid, predictable cost)
Customization	Often limited or requires additional fees	Highly flexible, may require in-house or external expertise
Vendor Lock-in	High risk, can lead to price increases	Low risk, freedom to switch providers
Upgrades	Controlled by vendor, may incur costs	Managed by community or in-house, often free
Training	May be included, can be an additional cost	Can rely on community resources or require paid training
Total Cost of Ownership	Can be predictable but often higher in the long run	Potentially lower in the long run, requires careful analysis

While open source software often eliminates the direct expense of licensing fees, a comprehensive understanding of the total cost implications requires managers to conduct a

thorough Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) analysis²⁵. This analysis must extend beyond the initial acquisition cost and consider all expenses incurred throughout the software lifecycle, including implementation, customization, support, and training, to make a truly informed financial decision.

The documented instances of significant cost savings achieved by government agencies through the adoption of OSS provide a compelling financial incentive for other governmental bodies to explore this model²⁰. Particularly in an environment of budgetary constraints, managers should actively investigate OSS options as a potentially viable strategy for reducing overall IT expenditures and reallocating resources to other critical priorities.

8. Best Practices and Recommendations for a Smooth Transition to Open Source Software

For governments considering or undergoing a transition to open source software, several best practices and recommendations can facilitate a smoother and more successful process.

Developing a clear and concise OSS policy is a crucial first step³⁶. This policy should align with the organization's strategic goals and any relevant federal mandates, such as the US Federal Source Code Policy¹⁰. It should provide clear guidelines for the adoption, contribution, and licensing of OSS, ensuring that the policy is easily understood and followed by developers and other relevant personnel⁴⁷. Regular review and updates to the policy are also important.

Initiating the transition with pilot projects in non-critical areas can be a prudent approach³⁶. This allows government teams to gain practical experience with OSS, build confidence in its capabilities, and adapt to new workflows in a less high-stakes environment.

Engaging actively with the open source community is highly recommended⁷. The OSS community offers a wealth of support, collaboration opportunities, and specialized expertise. Contributing back to the open source projects that the government relies on can foster a mutually beneficial relationship and ensure that the projects continue to meet the government's needs.

Investing in training and upskilling existing IT staff is essential for a successful transition³. Providing adequate training on the specific OSS technologies being adopted, as well as on open source development practices and licensing, will help address any potential lack of in-house expertise and ensure that staff can effectively manage and maintain the new systems.

Security considerations should be prioritized throughout the entire transition process³³. Implementing a secure-by-design approach, which incorporates security into every stage of the software development lifecycle, is crucial⁵¹. Utilizing Software Bill of Materials (SBOMs) can provide better visibility into the components of the software and help manage potential vulnerabilities³³. Regular vulnerability assessments should also be conducted to identify and address any security risks⁴⁷.

Governments should consider establishing an Open Source Program Office (OSPO) to provide centralized guidance and support for OSS initiatives⁸. An OSPO can play a key role in managing policies, addressing legal and security concerns, and fostering the adoption of OSS

across the organization.

Emphasizing modularity in system design and the use of open standards can facilitate easier transitions and improve interoperability with existing systems¹. Obtaining good legal advice on OSS licensing and related legal matters is also critical⁴⁷.

Furthermore, governments should take control of the OSS adoption process by developing internal expertise and understanding rather than solely relying on external vendors⁴⁷.

Measuring the internalization of OSS within the organization can help track progress and identify areas for improvement⁴⁷. If the government plans to release its own software as open source, valuing marketing and branding efforts can increase its impact⁴⁷. Choosing open-source communities that align with the government's technological goals and clearly communicating support plans are also important considerations⁴⁷. Hiring individuals passionate about open source to lead and champion these initiatives can be highly beneficial⁴⁷. Finally, simplifying the processes for releasing government-funded software as open source can encourage greater transparency and collaboration¹.

The recommendation to begin with pilot projects in non-critical areas offers a low-risk strategy for governments to gain practical experience with OSS and evaluate its suitability before implementing it more broadly³⁶. This allows for learning, adaptation, and the development of internal best practices without disrupting essential government services.

Actively engaging with the open source community provides governments with access to a vast reservoir of knowledge and expertise⁷. By participating in these communities, governments can seek support, collaborate on projects, and contribute their own expertise, fostering a mutually beneficial relationship that strengthens both the government's capabilities and the broader open source ecosystem.

9. Conclusion: Embracing the Potential of Open Source Software in Government

In conclusion, the analysis indicates that open source software presents a significant and increasingly relevant option for governments worldwide. The key benefits identified include potential cost savings through the elimination of licensing fees, enhanced transparency and increased public trust, improved security through community review and auditability, greater flexibility and customization capabilities, enhanced data sovereignty and control, fostering innovation and collaboration, and improved interoperability with other systems. However, the transition to OSS also involves navigating challenges such as initial resistance to change, addressing perceived security concerns, ensuring adequate support and expertise, adapting procurement processes, integrating with existing legacy systems, and guaranteeing compliance and accessibility.

The strategic advantages of considering open source software for government modernization, enhancing efficiency, and achieving greater digital sovereignty are compelling. While a successful transition requires careful planning, a thorough understanding of the total cost of ownership, effective change management, and a commitment to ongoing training and engagement with the open source community, the potential rewards are substantial. Informed decision-making, based on a comprehensive evaluation of the benefits, drawbacks, and managerial considerations, is crucial for governments to effectively leverage the power of OSS.

Looking ahead, open source software is poised to play an increasingly vital role in shaping the future of government technology and service delivery. As governments continue to seek innovative, cost-effective, and transparent solutions to meet the evolving needs of their citizens, the adoption and strategic utilization of open source software will likely become even more prevalent. Managers within government who embrace learning about and exploring the possibilities offered by OSS will be better equipped to lead their organizations towards a more efficient, secure, and citizen-centric digital future.

Works cited

1. Open Source Software in Government: Challenges and Opportunities - Homeland Security, accessed March 22, 2025, https://www.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/publications/Open%20Source%20Software%20in%20Government%20%E2%80%93%20Challenges%20and%20Opportunities_Final.pdf
2. How is Open Source Software Being Adopted Across the World?, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://cognitiveworld.com/articles/2025/1/20/how-is-open-source-software-being-adopted-across-the-world>
3. Open-Source Software: Three Considerations for Digital-Government Transformation, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://institute.global/insights/tech-and-digitalisation/open-source-software-considerations-digital-government-transformation>
4. Adopting Open Source Software: A Practical Guide, accessed March 22, 2025, http://mitp-content-server.mit.edu:18180/books/content/sectbyfn?collid=books_pres_0&id=8295&fn=9780262516358_sch_0001.pdf
5. Governments View Open Source as Critical for Enhancing Digital Services, Experts Say, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://www.nextgov.com/modernization/2023/01/governments-view-open-source-e-critical-enhancing-digital-services-experts-say/381666/>
6. Software as a public good - The GitHub Blog, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://github.blog/open-source/social-impact/software-as-a-public-good/>
7. Government's Role in Promoting Open Source Software - CSIS, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://www.csis.org/analysis/governments-role-promoting-open-source-software>
8. Navigating the Open Source Landscape: Key Insights from the ..., accessed March 22, 2025, <https://www.linuxfoundation.org/blog/navigating-the-open-source-landscape-key-insights-from-the-global-spotlight-2023-report>
9. Adoption of free and open-source software by public institutions - Wikipedia, accessed March 22, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Adoption_of_free_and_open-source_software_by_public_institutions
10. Requirements for achieving efficiency, transparency, and innovation through

- reusable and open source software - Digital.gov, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://digital.gov/resources/requirements-for-achieving-efficiency-transparency-and-innovation-through-reusable-and-open-source-software/>
11. Open Source Code | U.S. Department of Commerce, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://www.commerce.gov/about/policies/source-code>
 12. Open source software strategy - European Commission, accessed March 22, 2025, https://commission.europa.eu/about/departments-and-executive-agencies/digital-services/open-source-software-strategy_en
 13. My File: A Collaborative and Open-Source Approach to Transforming Public Service Delivery: Introduction: The Case for Change - New America, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://www.newamerica.org/digital-impact-governance-initiative/reports/my-file-proof-of-concept/introduction-the-case-for-change/>
 14. Why it's more important than ever to adopt open-source software in public administration, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://xwiki.com/en/Blog/open-source-software-in-public-administration/>
 15. Open source - Digital.gov, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://digital.gov/topics/open-source/>
 16. Why open source technology is the best fit for government data management - Link Digital, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://linkdigital.com.au/news/2024/07/why-open-source-technology-is-the-best-fit-for-government-data-management/>
 17. U.S. Department of Labor Case Study: Software Development Kits ..., accessed March 22, 2025, <https://resources.data.gov/resources/labor-case-study/>
 18. The case for open source software - 18F: Digital service delivery, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://18f.gsa.gov/2018/07/12/the-case-for-open-source-software/>
 19. Technology Case Studies | Government Missions | MetroStar, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://www.metrostar.com/case-studies/>
 20. SPR-583: Open Source as an Alternative to Commercial Software - Arizona Department of Transportation, accessed March 22, 2025, https://apps.azdot.gov/files/ADOTLibrary/publications/project_reports/pdf/az583.pdf
 21. How Governments Can Transition to Controllable, Open-Source Digital Solutions, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://www.kalamuna.com/blog/how-governments-can-transition-controllable-open-source-digital-solutions>
 22. How open source task management tools can transform government operations, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://allthingsopen.org/articles/how-open-source-transform-governments-operations>
 23. Open Source vs Proprietary Software - A Comparative Analysis - Multidots, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://www.multidots.com/blog/open-source-vs-proprietary/>
 24. The Support Cost Difference of Proprietary Software vs. Open-Source Software,

- accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://cloudcomputingtechnologies.ai/the-support-cost-difference-of-proprietary-software-vs-open-source-software/>
25. Scaling Government: Open Source vs Proprietary CMS, accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://www.prometsource.com/blog/open-source-vs-proprietary-government-websites>
 26. How Much Does Open Source Cost?, accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://www.siriusopensource.com/en-us/blog/how-much-does-open-source-cost>
 27. How open source software benefits public sector organizations - Rocket.Chat, accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://www.rocket.chat/blog/open-source-benefits-public-sector>
 28. Open Source Software Case Studies in International Development - ICTworks, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://www.ictworks.org/tag/open-source/>
 29. Building and Reusing Open Source Tools for Government: Section One - New America, accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://www.newamerica.org/digital-impact-governance-initiative/reports/building-and-reusing-open-source-tools-government/section-one-an-overview-of-open-source/>
 30. Open Source Software (OSS) in U.S. Government Acquisitions - David A. Wheeler, accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://dwheeler.com/essays/oss-government-acquisitions.html>
 31. Benefits of open source solutions for government organizations - Rocket.Chat, accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://www.rocket.chat/benefits-of-open-source-solutions-for-government-organizations>
 32. Why Governments want Open Source Software - Lambda Solutions, accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://www.lambdasolutions.net/blog/governments-open-source-software>
 33. White House releases report on securing open-source software ..., accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://cyberscoop.com/white-house-securing-open-source-software/>
 34. Open Source Security - CISA, accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://www.cisa.gov/opensource>
 35. Make Teams More Productive by Making Open Source Software - GovLoop, accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://www.govloop.com/community/blog/make-teams-more-productive-by-making-open-source-software/>
 36. Building and Reusing Open Source Tools for Government: Section Four: Managing the Details - New America, accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://www.newamerica.org/digital-impact-governance-initiative/reports/building-and-reusing-open-source-tools-government/section-four-managing-the-details/>
 37. Accelerating US Government Modernization With Open-Source And Agile Development, accessed March 22, 2025,

- <https://www.forrester.com/blogs/accelerating-us-government-modernization-with-open-source-and-agile-development/>
38. Security-Conscious Open Source Devs Now Wrestle with Government Requirements, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://virtualizationreview.com/articles/2022/07/13/open-source-challenges.aspx>
 39. Why isn't all government software open source? | Opensource.com, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://opensource.com/government/14/8/why-isnt-all-government-software-open-source>
 40. Purpose-Built vs Open-Source: Is Open-Source Software A Risk for Government Agencies?, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://www.vectorsolutions.com/resources/blogs/is-open-source-software-a-risk-government/>
 41. 11 barriers to coding in the open and how to overcome them - Technology in government, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://technology.blog.gov.uk/2018/11/05/11-barriers-to-coding-in-the-open-and-how-to-overcome-them/>
 42. 3 key open source challenges in developing countries | Opensource.com, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://opensource.com/article/23/4/challenges-open-source-developing-countries>
 43. (PDF) Challenges in Open Source Software: Implementation in Government Sector, accessed March 22, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301287937_Challenges_in_Open_Source_Software_Implementation_in_Government_Sector
 44. A Guide to Evaluating Open Source versus Proprietary Software for Data Workflows in the Social Sector - MERL Center, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://merlcenter.org/guides/evaluating-open-source-vs-proprietary-software/>
 45. (PDF) Total cost of ownership of open source software: a report for the UK Cabinet Office supported by OpenForum Europe - ResearchGate, accessed March 22, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265035305_Total_cost_of_ownership_of_open_source_software_a_report_for_the_UK_Cabinet_Office_supported_by_OpenForum_Europe
 46. Framing the Conundrum of Total Cost of Ownership of Open Source Software, accessed March 22, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/220724979_Framing_the_Conundrum_of_Total_Cost_of_Ownership_of_Open_Source_Software
 47. Open source software best practices and supply chain risk management - GOV.UK, accessed March 22, 2025, <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/open-source-software-best-practices-and-supply-chain-risk-management/open-source-software-best-practices-and-supply-chain-risk-management>
 48. Best Practices for Adopting Open-Source Software in 2024 - Forward Digital, accessed March 22, 2025,

- <https://forward.digital/blog/best-practices-for-adopting-open-source-software>
49. The U.S. Government Prioritizes Open Source Governance and Security | Blog - Endor Labs, accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://www.endorlabs.com/learn/the-u-s-government-prioritizes-open-source-governance-and-security>
 50. How one federal agency worked to release open source software responsibly, accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://www.usdigitalresponse.org/resources/cms-open-source-software>
 51. A secure-by-design approach to federal open-source software, accessed March 22, 2025,
<https://www.federaltimes.com/opinions/2024/03/07/a-secure-by-design-approach-to-federal-open-source-software/>